## ECE 30862 Fall 2012, Second Exam

# DO NOT START WORKING ON THIS UNTIL TOLD TO DO SO. LEAVE IT ON THE DESK.

You have until 12:20 to take this exam.

Your exam should have 16 pages total (including this cover sheet). Please let Prof. Midkiff know immediately if it does not. Each problem is worth 7 points unless noted otherwise.

This exam is open book, open notes, but no electronics. If you have a question, please ask for clarification. If the question is not resolved, state on the test whatever assumptions you need to make to answer the question, and answer it under those assumptions. *Check the front board occasionally for corrections.* 

Name:

Student ID:

 $0\_1\_2\_3\_4\_5\_6\_7\_8\_9\_10\_11\_12\_13\_14\_15\_16\_17\_18\_19\_20$ 

```
class B {
public:
   B() { }
   ~B() { }
   virtual void print() {
      cout << "B::print( ) ";</pre>
   }
   virtual void print(int i) {
      cout << "B::print(int)" << endl;</pre>
   }
};
class D : public B {
public:
   D() { }
   ~D() { }
   virtual void print() {
      cout << "D::print( ) ";</pre>
   }
   virtual void print(int i) {
      cout << "D::print(int)" << endl;</pre>
   }
};
int main(int argc, char * argv[]) {
   D *d = new D();
   B *b = (B*) d;;
   b->print( );
   d->print(4);
   return 0;
}
```

What is printed:

```
a: D::print( ) D::print(int)
```

```
b: B::print( ) D::print(int)
```

```
c: D::print() B::print(int)
```

```
d: B::print() B::print(int)
```

e: Compiler error because it is ambiguous which B and/or D is to be called

```
class B {
public:
   B() { }
   ~B() { }
   void print() {
      cout << "B::print( ) ";</pre>
   }
   void print(int i) {
      cout << "B::print(int)" << endl;</pre>
   }
};
class D : public B {
public:
   D() { }
   ~D() { }
   void print() {
      cout << "D::print() ";</pre>
   }
   void print(int i) {
      cout << "D::print(int)" << endl;</pre>
   }
};
int main(int argc, char * argv[]) {
   D *d = new D();
   B *b = (B*) d;;
   b->print( );
   d->print(4);
   return 0;
}
```

What is printed:

```
a: D::print( ) D::print(int)
```

```
b: B::print( ) D::print(int)
```

```
c: D::print() B::print(int)
```

```
d: B::print() B::print(int)
```

e: Compiler error because it is ambiguous which B and/or D is to be called

```
class B {
public:
   B() { }
   ~B() { }
   virtual void print() {
      cout << "B::print( ) ";</pre>
   }
   virtual void print(int i) {
      cout << "B::print(int)" << endl;</pre>
   }
};
class D : public B {
public:
   D() { }
   ~D() { }
   virtual void print() {
      cout << "D::print() ";</pre>
   }
   virtual void print(int i) {
      cout << "D::print(int)" << endl;</pre>
   }
};
int main(int argc, char * argv[]) {
   D *d = new D();
   B *b = (B*) d;
   ((B) (*b)).print();
   ((B) *d).print(4);
   return 0;
}
```

What is printed:

```
a: D::print( ) D::print(int)
```

```
b: B::print( ) D::print(int)
```

```
c: B::print() B::print(int)
```

```
d: D::print() B::print(int)
```

e: Compiler error because it is ambiguous which B and/or D is to be called

```
class B {
   public B( ) { }
   public void print( ) {System.out.print("B::print( ) ");}
   public void print(int i) {System.out.println("B::print(int)");}
}
class D extends B {
   public D( ) { }
   public void print( ) {System.out.print("D::print( ) ");}
   public void print(int i) {System.out.println("D::print(int)");}
}
class Test {
   public static void main(String args[]) {
      D d = new D();
      ((B) d).print();
      d.print(4);
   }
}
   What is printed:
a: B::print() B::print(int)
b: B::print() D::print(int)
c: D::print() B::print(int)
d: D::print() D::print(int)
e: Compiler error because it is ambiguous which B and/or D is to be called
```

```
void f(int i) {
   if (i < 0) {
      throw 29;
   } else {
      cout << ">= 0 ";
   }
}
void g( ) {
   for (int i = 0; i > -2; i--) {
      try {
         f(i);
         cout << i << " ";
      } catch (int i) {
         cout << "exception caught ";</pre>
      }
   }
   cout << "the end." << endl;</pre>
}
int main( ) {
   g();
}
   What is printed?
```

```
a. >= 0.0 exception
```

```
{\bf b.} the end.
```

- c. the program terminates when the exception is thrown
- d. there is an compile-time error when throwing an exception that is not an object.

```
e. >= 0 0 exception caught the end.
```

```
class E extends Exception {
   public E( ) { }
   public void print( ) {System.out.print("Bad things happened ");}
}
class Test {
   private static void f(int i) throws E {
      if (i > 0) throw new E();
   }
  public static void main(String args[]) {
     for (int i = 1; i > -1; i--) {
         try {
            f(i);
            System.out.print(i+" ");
         } catch (E e) {
            e.print();
         }
      }
      System.out.println("terminating program");
   }
}
```

What is printed?

- **a.** Bad things happened 0 terminating program
- **b.** Bad things happened
- ${\bf c.}\ {\rm Bad}\ {\rm things}\ {\rm happened}\ {\rm terminating}\ {\rm program}$
- d. The program ends with an error before printing anything
- e. The program has a compile error because class Test does not extend the exception it catches.

```
void f(int* p) {
 *p = 58;
 p = 0;
}
int main() {
 int i = 29;
 int* p = &i;
 cout << p << " ";
 cout << p << " ";
 f(p);
 cout << p << " ";
 cout << p << " ";
 cout << p << " ";
 return 0;
}</pre>
```

What is printed if "p" has the value "0x7fff61d3cb04"??

- **a.** 0x7fff61d3cb04 29 0x7fff61d3cb04 29x
- **b.** 0x7fff61d3cb04 29 0x7fff61d3cb04 58x
- **c.** 0x7fff6ad8eb04 29 0x000000000000
- d. The program has a null pointer error in the statement "cout << \*p << "x" << endl;"
- ${\bf e.}\,$  None of the above.
  - 66

```
class B {
  public int i;
  public B( ) {i = 29;}
}
class Test {
  public static void foo(B bb) {
      bb.i = 58;
      bb = null;
   }
  public static void main(String args[]) {
      B b = new B();
      System.out.print(b.i+" ");
      foo(b);
      System.out.print(b.i+" ");
   }
}
```

What is printed?

a. "29" is printed, followed by a null pointer error at the second "System.out.println" in main.

**a.** 29 29

- **b.** 29 58
- **c.** None of the above.

```
class Shape {
public:
    virtual double area();
    virtual double circumference() = 0;
};
int main() {
    Shape s();
}
```

What is the best answer?

- **a.** This is a legal program.
- **b.** There is an error since you cannot instantiate objects from an abstract class.
- c. circumference is an abstract function, area is not.
- **d.** b and c are both correct.
- e. The function circumstance will return 0 if not overridden with another function.

```
abstract class Shape {
    int i;
    abstract protected double area();
    abstract protected double circumference();
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        Shape s = new Shape();
    }
}
```

What is printed?

a. This is a legal program as long as the methods area and circumference are not called.

- **b.** This is a legal program.
- c. There is an error because Test must extend Shape before creating a shape object.

d. There is an error because an abstract class cannot declare data members.

e. There is an error since you cannot instantiate objects from an abstract class.

```
interface Shape {
    int i = 0;
    public double area();
    public double circumference();
}
interface Color {
    int i = 0;
    public int red();
    public int green();
    public int blue();
}
class Test implements Shape, Color {
    // code for class Test goes here
}
```

Which answer is most correct?

a. Class Test must define methods area, circumference, red, green and blue.

b. Class Test must define methods all methods that it will use or that classes inheriting from Test will use.

c. This program is inherently illegal because Java disallows multiple inheritance.

d. This program is inherently illegal because the variable i is declared in both the Shape and Color interfaces.

e. both c and d are correct.

```
interface Shape {
   int i = 0;
   public double area( );
   public double circumference( );
}
interface Color {
   int i = 0;
   public int red( );
  public int green( );
   public int blue( );
}
class Test implements Shape, Color {
   public double area( ) {return 0.0;}
   public double circumference( ) {return 0.0;}
   public int red( ) {return 0;}
   public int green( ) {return 0;}
   public int blue( ) {return i;}
}
```

Which answer is most correct?

- a. The program is legal because all of the methods inShape and Color are implemented.
- b. The program is illegal because it is ambiguous which i is being used in the definition of blue in class Test.
- c. The i used in the definition of blue in class Test is the i defined in Color.
- d. This program is inherently illegal because Java disallows multiple inheritance.
  - 71

### 13 Language neutral question:

You would like to have a container that efficiently implements inserts and deletes from the front, the end and the middle of the container. You should use

 $\mathbf{a.}\ \mathrm{a}\ \mathrm{vector}$ 

**b.** a queue

 $\mathbf{c.}$  a list

- **d.** a or b.
- $\mathbf{e.}$  all are equally good.

```
class MyComplex {
private:
   double re, im;
public:
   MyComplex(double r, double i) : re(r), im(i) { }
   // MyComplex(const MyComplex& orig) { re = orig.re; im = orig.im; }
   MyComplex operator-( const MyComplex& arg) {
      return MyComplex(re-arg.re, im-arg.im);
   }
   MyComplex operator-( ) {
      return MyComplex(-re, -im);
   }
   friend ostream& operator<< (ostream& os, const MyComplex& arg);</pre>
};
ostream& operator<< (ostream& os, const MyComplex& arg) {</pre>
   os << "(" << arg.re << ", " << arg.im << ")" << endl;
   return os;
}
int main( ) {
   MyComplex first(3,4);
   cout << first - -first << endl;</pre>
   return 0;
}
```

Which answer is most correct?

- a. ostream& operator<< is a friend of class MyComplex because it accepts a MyComplex as an argument, and is not a member of the MyComplex class.
- b. There is no need in this program for the ostream& operator<< to be a friend of class MyComplex, and could have been a member function of the class MyComplex.</p>
- c. If operator overloading is used, there must be at least one friend class for every class that implements overloaded operators.
- d. ostream& operator<< is a friend of class MyComplex so that it can access private fields in MyComplex.

```
class MyComplex {
public:
   double re, im;
   MyComplex(double r, double i) : re(r), im(i) { }
   MyComplex operator-(const MyComplex& arg); // FUNCTION 1};
};
MyComplex MyComplex::operator-( const MyComplex& arg) {
   double d1 = re - arg.re;
  double d2 = im - arg.im;
   return MyComplex(d1, d2);
}
MyComplex operator-(const MyComplex& arg) {
   double d1 = -arg.re;
   double d2 = -arg.im;
  return MyComplex(d1, d2);
}
int main( ) {
  MyComplex first(3,4);
  MyComplex second(2,9);
   first - second;
   return 0;
}
```

Which answer is most correct?

a. "MyComplex MyComplex::operator-" and "MyComplex operator-" are both unary operators

b. "MyComplex MyComplex::operator-" is a binary operator and "MyComplex operator-" is a unary operators

c. "MyComplex MyComplex::operator-" is a unary operator and "MyComplex operator-" is a binary operators

d. "first - second" calls "MyComplex MyComplex::operator-" and arg.re refers to seconds's re field.

- e. b and d.
  - 74

void foo(int i, double d, int j) {cout << "int, double, int" << endl; } // foo1
void foo(double d, int i, int j) {cout << "double, int, int" << endl; } // foo 2
void foo(long l, int i, int j) {cout << "long, int, int" << endl; } // foo 3
int main() {
 long L = 0; int i = 0;
 float f; double d;
 foo(i, f, i); // call 1
 foo(f, L, 2); // call 2
 foo(1.0, 1.0, 2); // call 3
 foo(L, i, i); // call 4
}</pre>

Which answer is most correct?

- a. "call 1" calls "foo 1", , "call 2" calls "foo 2", "call 3" is ambiguous, "call 4" calls "foo 3".
- b. "call 3" is ambiguous, "call 2" is illegal because it passes a 64-bit "long" to a 32-bit "int".
- c. "call 3" is ambiguous, "call 2" is illegal because it passes a 64-bit "long" to a 32-bit "int".
- d. Only with exact matches (i.e. "call 4") can C++ figure out which overloaded function to call.

#### class Test {

```
static void foo(int i, double d, int j) {System.out.println("int, double, int"); } // foo1
static void foo(double d, int i, int j) {System.out.println("double, int, int");} // foo 2
static void foo(long l, int i, int j) {System.out.println("long, int, int"); } // foo 3
public static void main(String args[]) {
    long L = 0; int i = 0;
    float f = (float) 0.0; double d = 0.0;
    foo(i, f, i); // call 1
    foo(f, L, 2); // call 2
    foo(L, i, i); // call 3
}
```

```
}
```

76

Which answer is most correct?

- a. "call 1" has no match, "call 2" calls "foo 2", and "call 3" call "foo 2".
- b. "call 1" calls "foo 1", , "call 2" has no match, "call 3" calls "foo 3"
- c. "call 1" calls "foo 1", , "call 2" calls foo2 and "call 3" is ambiguous.
- d. In Java, only calls whose arguments types exactly match the function parameter types are legal, and thus only "call 3" is legal.

```
class B {
   public B() {System.out.print("B ");}
}
class D1 extends B {
   public D1() {System.out.print("D1 ");}
}
class D2 extends D1 {
   public D2() {System.out.print("D2 ");}
}
class Main {
   public static void main(String args[]) {
      D2 d = new D2();
   }
}
```

Which answer is most correct?

- **a.** The program prints "D2"
- **b.** When a constructor other than the default zero-arg constructor is used it must be called explicitly, and the program is illegal.
- c. The program prints "B D1 D2"
- d. The program prints "D2 D1 B"
- e. The order that constructors are called is implementation dependent, and either a, c or d could be correct.

```
class B {
  public:
      B() {cout << "B ";}
};
class D1 : B {
  public:
      D1() {cout << "D1 ";}
};
class D2 : D1 {
  public:
      D2() {cout << "D2 ";}
};
int main() {
      D2* d = new D2();
}</pre>
```

Which answer is most correct?

- a. The program prints "D2"
- **b.** When a constructor other than the default zero-arg constructor is used it must be called explicitly, and the program is illegal.
- c. The program prints "D2 D1 B"
- d. The program prints "B D1 D2"
- e. The order that constructors are called is implementation dependent, and either a, c or d could be legal.

```
class B {
    int i;
    float f;
    doubld d;
public:
    B(): d(0.0), i(4), f(3.0) { }
};
int main() {
    B b();
}
```

Which answer is most correct?

- a. When the zero-arg constructor for "B" is called, the order the fields are initialized is implementation dependent, but the same for all executions of the program.
- **b.** When the zero-arg constructor for "B" is called, the order the fields are initialized can vary from program run to program run.
- c. When the zero-arg constructor for "B" is called, field "d" is initialized first, then field "i" next, and then field "f".
- d. When the zero-arg constructor for "B" is called, field "f" is initialized first, then field "i" next, and then field "d".
- e. When the zero-arg constructor for "B" is called, field "i" is initialized first, then field "f" next, and then field "d".

### 21 Java (+4 if you get it, -2 if you are wrong)

```
class B {
    private int i;
    public B() {i = 4;}
    void add(B b) {i = i + b.i;}
}
class Test {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        B b1 = new B();
        B b2 = new B();
        b2.add(b1);
    }
}
```

Which answer is most correct?

- a. Because "i" is a private field of the B class, object "b2" can access object "b1"'s "i" field in the "add" method.
- b. Because "i" is a private field of the B object, object "b2" cannot access object "b1"'s "i" field in the "add" method.